

**R0232**

Chapter and policy number	Comment	Do you consider the Local Plan to be Legally Compliant? (yes/no)	Do you consider the Local Plan to be Sound? (yes/no)	Complies with Duty to Cooperate (yes/no)
Chapter 11 Tall Buildings	<p>There is no place to put down I do not know. I only want to comment on the actual plan. There is no place for historic buildings and tall buildings within London. The ones that have been built so far - one of the worst ones being the 'walkie talkie' building so not fit into a City such as London. The building is impressive but should never have been built where it is as it is unsympathetic to its historic surrounding (or those that still exist....). You only have to look at what has happened around the City at the moment and also around the Tower of London as examples of this. At the beginning of this document it is mentioned that the City has a 'historic environment' however, this whole vision and plan for the square mile goes totally against that. London is an historic City, people come across the Globe to see the history and yet most of it is being pulled down - at an alarming rate - under the heading of 'sustainability' and 'progress' Any historic buildings that are left standing are being totally hidden by horrendous buildings that do not have a place in the historic parts of the City. Instead of enhancing the City, areas are being totally destroyed, in the name of progress, and the historic part of London - ie the City is being obliterated I do not go into London as often as I would like and have been astounded (and not in a good way) at all the 'progressive development' that has taken place at an alarming rate in the last 4/5year! Rather than protecting and enhancing the heritage it appears you would rather destroy it. Some areas around the City Mile now are depressing, no sunlight can get through and act like wind tunnels and have totally destroyed the character and history of our main capital City. The City is losing all its unique historical references and is now becoming like any other City across the world with souless tall buildings. I am not against tall buildings but can not</p>	No	No	No

	<p>understand why we can not act like places like Paris who celebrate their old buildings and history by building taller buildings on the outskirts of the City and enhancing their City rather than destroying it. When Canary wharf was first built it was great idea and that is where those buildings should have stayed. We should be like other European Cities like Prague, Paris, etc and protect our history instead of almost being ashamed of it and treating any old buildings as being old in favour of glass or multi coloured boxes that look awful and totally out of character. Re purpose or refit some of the many empty derelict buildings that are lying empty and disused instead of pulling down them down. not popular I know as you get more money however, if their is an interest in protecting both the environment and the historical side this should always be investigated first.</p> <p>See above - as detailed am not a solicitor - just wanted to object to the plans. This should be an easy exercise and I can not help but think this has been made more difficult than it should be.</p>			
--	---	--	--	--